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Search for new physics with cosmological surveys

The $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ tension


Neutrinos, dark matter


The $\sigma_{8}$ tension


Accelerated expansion



## Current search of new physics with galaxy surveys

## Precise measurements of summary statistics



Parameter estimation:
likelihood sampling

$$
L(D \mid p) \sim \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left[(D-M(p))^{T} C^{-1}(D-M(p))\right]\right)
$$



Samplers comparison in
Lemos, Weaverdyck et al (incl. AF), MNRAS, 2023
=> Polychord validated for DES Y3 3x2pt sampling

## The case of beyond- $\wedge$ CDM models with DES Y3 weak lensing

DES Y3 extensions (co-leads Jessie Muir and AF): DES collaboration, PRD, 2023

Robust analysis of 7 models:

- Blinded analysis:
- tests of systematics,
- scale cuts validation.
- 6 cosmological parameters + extended parameters + 22 nuisance parameters.
- Run 700+ chains on HPC Use of NERSC, TACC, GATTACA @ JPL, Sherlock @ Stanford.



## The Stage-IV experimental landscape



Goals are:

- Dark energy, modified gravity,
- Cosmic inflation.

Baseline analyses will still rely on parameter estimation from precise measurements of summary statistics.


## Challenges and where Machine Learning can help

Challenges:

- More complex parameter space,
- Expensive likelihood evaluation.
$\rightarrow$ ML to enable faster analysis of measurements to constrain new physics parameters

Emulators of summary statistics
CosmoPower
A. Spurio Mancini et al, MNRAS, 2022 Application to f(R): REACTEMU-FR A. Spurio Mancini and Bose, OJA, 2023

Being used in DES Y6 (by Sujeong Lee) but need to retrain to adapt to our parameter space.

Improving the sampling in a large parameter space

Nautilus: inference using deep learning J. Lange, 2023

Great improvements for DES Y6 shear but polychord still performs better for $3 \times 2 \mathrm{pt}$.

How to explore theory space with future surveys?

Ishak et al, 1905.09687, 2019


Theoretical perspective to decide which model to constrain


Phenomenological parametrization, EFT, ...

Gravity models impact probes differently: How do models compare at the level of probes?


## Map MG models onto 2D map using Self-Organizing Map

## 1. Producing the training set

Cosmology: fixed parameters

2. Training the SOM

$10^{2} \quad \ell$

Theoretical predictions of cosmic shear

- 5 redshift bins
- 15 values of multipole ell
=> 225 elements per data set
$6 \times 6$ SOM grid


Understanding MG models impact on cosmic shear with SOM

- Dark energy and modified gravity should both be tested,
- Dilaton has a unique signature,
- Application to $\sigma_{8}$ tension.


From AF, Hemmati et al, OJA, 2023






Outlooks to enable detection of new physics with future surveys using ML

- ML to accelerate analysis and decide on theories to explore.
- Deep learning cosmology.

DESLearning. Co-Pls Tomasz Kacprzak and AF, for NERSC-NESAP to accelerate the training on GPUs.

- For MG, analysis using summary statistics and deep learning: need modeling developments.

