Smooth sailing or ragged climb? -Increasing the robustness of power spectrum de-wiggling and ShapeFit parameter compression

#### Katayoon Ghaemi

Co-authors: Nils Schöneberg, Licia Verde

PhD advisor: Alice Pisani

Les Houches - Dark Universe

14 July 2025







## Outline

- Matter power spectrum
- Extracting information
   from power spectrum
- Goals of the project
- Results
- Conclusion
- Few words on cosmic voids



## Matter Power Spectrum

Matter Power Spectrum Fourier transform of the correlation function from galaxy surveys. Amplitude Baryonic Acoustic Oscillations (BAO) Arise from the primordial sound waves. Shape

## Extracting information from power spectrum

#### Classic approach (BAO + RSD):

BAO + RSD, extracting  $\alpha_{\parallel}, \alpha_{\perp}$ , f $\sigma_8$  in a model independent way.

#### **Full shape**

Fits the whole power spectrum with EFT.

### ShapeFit

It is an extension of the classic approach. Compresses the broadband information in a scale-dependent slope, m. The slope is senstive to matter-radiation equality and baryon suppression.



### Classic Approach

- BAO, RSD,
- Template based,
- Uses BAO + amplitude of the power spectrum,
- Provides only latetime dependent physical observables.



#### Classic Approach

- BAO, RSD,
- Template based,
- Uses BAO + amplitude of the power spectrum,
- Provides only latetime dependent physical observables.

### Full Shape

- Uses the whole power spectrum,
- Provides early and late-time information,
- Cosmological model needs to be chosen a priori,
- Needs to be refitted for each model



#### Classic Approach

- BAO, RSD,
- Template based,
- Uses BAO + amplitude of the power spectrum,
- Provides only latetime dependent physical observables.

### Full Shape

- Uses the whole power spectrum,
- Provides early and late-time information,
- Cosmological model needs to be chosen a priori,
- Needs to be refitted for each model

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

### ShapeFit

- Compresses the broadband information in a scale-dependent slope, m.
- More model agnostic
- The constraints are almost as tight as full modelling.

## Goals of the project

Extracting the shape of the power spectrum relies on two crucial steps:

- 1. Smoothing the power spectrum (thirteen different methods),
- 2. Calculating the derivative at a given wavenumber k<sub>p</sub>.



#### Goal 1

Compare and refine the robustness of methods for steps 1 and 2, Goal 2

Compute the systematic error, and compare to statistical error of Dark Energy Spectroscopic Instrument (DESI).

# The golden sample

Six of the de-wiggling methods which perform better for recovering the broadband shape in the full wavenumber range.



ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

9



ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

## Post Processing filters

Differences between the smoothing methods are 1-5%.

Methods of further smoothing the de-wiggled power spectrum ratios were developed to obtain more consistent slope values.



## Slope, m

#### The ShapeFit parameter m can be computed as:

$$\mu = rac{\partial ln(rac{P_{lin}^{no-wiggle}(k/s)}{P_{lin}^{ref,no-wiggle}(k)})}{\partial lnk}ert_{k=k_p} \equiv rac{\partial ln}{\partial lnk}
onumber \ n = rac{\partial ln\left(rac{P_{prim}(k/s)}{P_{prim}^{ref}(k)}
ight)}{\partial lnk}ert_k
onumber \ n = rac{\partial lnk}{\partial lnk}ert_k$$

 $nR\left(k,s
ight)$  $k = k_p$  $\partial lnk$ 

 $=k_p$ 

## Calculating the slope



## Testing for different cosmological models

#### Null tests

- Varying A<sub>s</sub>
- Varying n<sub>s</sub>
- Varying  $\Omega_k$
- Evolving dark energy

- Varying  $\Omega_{\rm m}h^2$ , with fixed  $\Omega_{\rm b}/\Omega_{\rm cdm}$
- Varying  $\Omega_m h^2$ , with fixed  $\Omega_b$
- Varying  $\Sigma m_{\nu}$
- Varying N<sub>eff</sub>
- Early dark energy

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

### **Different cosmological** models

0.0010-0.0005-Slope m0.0000. -0.0005 $\frac{10}{10^{-1}} + 1.2 + 0.8 + 0.72 + 0.01 + 0.00 + 1.2 + 0.4 + 0.$ -0.0010100

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

## Evolving dark energy

The resulting slope m should be identically zero in null tests.

## Systematic error

Systematic uncertainty: $\sigma_{m,syst} = 0.023 |m| + 0.001$ 

If the slope is obtained through the suggested steps described in the paper:

 $\overline{\sigma_{m,syst}}=0.011|m|+0.001|$ 



ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

15

## Conclusions

- There is a roughly 1-2% level difference between different de-wiggling methods to be considered an inherent uncertainty.
- As long as the theory pipeline is consistent with the data analysis pipeline, there is no bias on the cosmological parameters.
- The systematic uncertainty  $\sigma_{m,syst}$ , is much smaller than current statistical uncertainties.

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr



Read the paper!

16



regions in the universe.

neutrino masses.

mysteries.

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

## **Cosmic Voids**

- Cosmic voids are large under-dense
- They are sensitive to structure growth, dark energy, modified gravity, sum of
- Therefore, they are promising to answer some of the cosmological



Credit: Hammaus et al 2020

## Void-galaxy crosscorrelation function

Measures the probability of finding a galaxy at comoving distance r from the void center.

Current project in DESI: Cosmological constraints from DESI Y3 void-galaxy cross-correlation function measurements in redshift space, first author.

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

#### **STAY TUNED!**

## Thank you for your attention!

		Í
	_	

m<sub>true</sub> is set to the median of tanh (fixed) method.

This method is robust to dewiggling methods and suitable for cosmological inference.

Method	$\Delta m/m$	$\Delta m/m$	$\Delta m/m$
	(no post-proc.)	(moving average)	(Savitzky-Golay)
Gradient	$0.197 \pm 0.118$	$-0.053 \pm 0.021$	$\textbf{0.006} \pm \textbf{0.023}$
Global spline	$-0.118\pm0.010$	$-0.167 \pm 0.009$	$-0.126\pm0.010$
Local spline	$0.185 \pm 0.099$	$-0.054 \pm 0.021$	$0.006 \pm 0.023$
Polynomial (degree 2)	$0.011 \pm 0.032$	$-0.104\pm0.017$	$-0.052 \pm 0.019$
Polynomial (degree 3)	$0.140 \pm 0.067$	$-0.055 \pm 0.021$	$0.005 \pm 0.023$
Polynomial (degree 5)	$0.178 \pm 0.096$	$-0.055 \pm 0.021$	$0.006 \pm 0.023$
Steps $\Delta \ln k = 0.6$	$0.132\pm0.061$	$-0.058 \pm 0.020$	$0.000 \pm 0.022$
Steps $\Delta \ln k = 1.4$	$0.068 \pm 0.044$	$-0.080 \pm 0.019$	$-0.024 \pm 0.021$
Steps $\Delta \ln k = 2.2$	$-0.028 \pm 0.027$	$-0.119\pm0.017$	$-0.069 \pm 0.018$
Tanh (fixed)	$-0.004\pm0.011$	$-0.063\pm0.010$	$-0.017 \pm 0.011$
Tanh (fit)	$-0.039 \pm 0.013$	$-0.120 \pm 0.010$	$-0.068 \pm 0.012$

Relative m deviation with respect to m<sub>true</sub>, and its scatter across the different dewiggling algorithms.

ghaemi@cppm.in2p3.fr

## Back-up slides alculating the stematic error